

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference WA/46538	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No. PCT/EP 03/05896	International filing date (day/mor 05.06.2003	nth/year) .	Priority date (day/month/year) 05.06.2002			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or b	poth national classification and IPC					
Applicant WALCOM ANIMAL SCIENCE (I.P.	4) LIMITED	M .				
This international preliminary exa Authority and is transmitted to the	mination report has been prepa e applicant according to Article C	red by this Inte 36.	rnational Preliminary Examining			
a Til DEDORT	at Contracts including this pour	. oboot				
2. This REPORT consists of a total	or 6 sneets, including this cove	r sneet.				
been amended and are the	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets basis for this report and/or shee n 607 of the Administrative Instr	ets containing re	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority he PCT).			
These annexes consist of a total	of 8 sheets.					
3. This report contains indications re	elating to the following items:					
I ⊠ Basis of the opinion						
II ☐ Priority			*			
III Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty, i	nventive step a	nd industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of invent	ion		·			
V 🛭 Reasoned statement u citations and explanat	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regar ions supporting such statement	d to novelty, in	ventive step or industrial applicability;			
VI Certain documents cit	ed					
VII Certain defects in the	international application					
VIII Certain observations of	on the international application	•				
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	completion of thi	s report			
05.01.2004	23.07	.2004				
Name and mailing address of the internation preliminary examining authority:	nal Authori	zed Officer	gartitures Priorite of			
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich	· van H	eusden, M				
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	556 epmu d	one No. +49 89 2	399-8145			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/05896

 Basis of the report 	rt	O	p	·e	r	е	th	١t	s c	Si	а	В	ı.	
---	----	---	---	----	---	---	----	----	-----	----	---	---	----	--

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	De	scription, Pages		٠.						
	1-3		as originally filed							
	Cla	aims, Numbers								
	1-4	.3	received on 02.07.2004 with letter of 02.07.2004							
2.	Wit lan	h regard to the langu guage in which the in	rage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this A ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this ite	uthority in the						
	The	ese elements were av	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which	is:						
		the language of a tr	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Ru	ıle 23.1(b)).						
	☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).									
		the language of a tr Rule 55.2 and/or 55	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examinat (3).	ion (under						
3.	Wit inte	h regard to any nucl e rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applic examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	ation, the						
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.							
		☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.								
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.								
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.								
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.								
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the writ ished.	ten sequence ·						
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:							
		the description,	pages:							
		the claims,	Nos.:							
		the drawings,	sheets:							
5.		This report has been been considered to	n established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since t go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	hey have						
		(Any replacement streport.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and a	innexed to this						
6.	Add	itional observations,	if necessary:							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/05896

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-43

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

18-20, 22, 24-42

No: Claims

1-17, 21, 23, 43

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-23, 36

No: Claims

24-35, 37-43 (?)

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP03/05896 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Additional remarks to section V:

- 1. Novelty and Inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT)
- 1.1 The present application discloses a method of producing antibodies, by preparing an antigen from the adipose tissues of a source animal, producing antibodies to said antigen in an egg-laying animal, the latter belonging to a different species than the source animal. It further relates to the resulting antibodies and their medical applications. It also relates to a feed additive or a medicament comprising said antibodies. Finally it relates to methods of modulating adipose content of a target animal using said antibodies.
- 1.2 The documents mentioned in this report are numbered as in the International Search Report (ISR), i.e. D1 corresponds to the first document of the ISR etc.
- 1.3 The present application does not seem to satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT because the subject matter of claims 1-17, 21, 23 and 43 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents D1-D5.

The subject matter of claims 1 and 43 relates to a method of producing antibodies using antigen from adipose tissue of a source animal and making antibodies in an egg-laying animal that is different from the source animal. The fact that the antibodies so produced cross react with adipose tissue from a further (target) species different from the source species is a result that is achieved (inherently) which does not constitute a technical feature characterizing the method.

The closest prior art to evaluate the inventiveness of claims 1 and 43 is any of documents D3-D5, which all disclose the production of antibodies against adipocyte, plasma membranes of adipocyte, or antigens thereof. The antibodies are produced in sheep (D3, D5) or rabbit (D4). The subject matter of claims 1 and 43 differs from said prior art documents in that the antibodies are produced in an egg-laying animal. It is, however, well known in the art that egg-laying animals, for instance chickens, are a suitable host for large scale antibody production and purification. This is also apparent from document D1, wherein antibodies against chicken adipocyte membranes are prepared in chicken (paragraphs 40-45), and from D2, wherein antibodies against CCK are produced in chicken (example 1). It follows that the production of antibodies against adipose tissue in an egg- laying animal does not involve an inventive step (claims 1-14). It follows that the antibodies resulting from the method of claims 1-14 (antibody according to claims 15-17)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/EP03/05896

and a medicament comprising said antibodies (claims 21 and 23) cannot be considered inventive either.

- 1.4 The applicant has argued that the inventive concept of claim 1 lies in the fact that antibodies against adipose tissue antigen from one species can cross-react with antigen of adipose tissue of a closely related/different species. As indicated above, this feature does not constitute a technical feature characterizing the method of claim 1, but rather relates to an intended use. It is anticipated that in the case that claim 1 were to be limited to a method as defined in claim 1 and further comprising the step of administering the resulting antibodies to a target animal belonging to a closely related species, said method would be objected to for insufficient disclosure. The applicant has argued that the skilled person would not have a reasonable expectation of success in finding cross-reactivity between adipose tissue antigens of different species. The applicant has shown that such crossreactivity exists between pig adipose tissue antigen and rat adipose tissue antigen, using antibodies made in chicken. Therefore an inventive step could at most be recognized for a method based on the specific cross-reactivity of antibody, made in chicken, against adipose tissue antigen of pig and rat.
- 1.5 None of the cited prior art documents suggests that an antibody against adipose tissue antigen can be effective in modulating adipose tissue fat content of a target animal when administered orally. This implies that the antibodies are effective even after passing the intestinal barrier. Thus an inventive step can be recognized for a method of modulating content of adipose tissue in a target animal comprising the oral administration of antibody against adipose tissue antigen (claims 24-42). Therefore also feed additive comprising said antibody (claims 18-20) can be considered inventive. The same is true for a medicament comprising said antibody and being adapted to be administered via ingestion (claim 22).
- 2. Industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT)
- 2.1 The subject matter of claims 1-23 and 36 appears to be industrially applicable.
- 2.2 The subject matter of claims 24-35 and 37-43 includes methods of treatment of the human or animal body and is thus excluded from examination by Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT in combination with Rule 67(iv) PCT. For the assessment of these claims on the question

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP03/05896 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but will allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

The applicant is already informed that in the case of a European application, claims 24-35 and 37-43 do not seem to be allowable because 'methods of treatment of human or animal body by surgery or by therapy and diagnostic methods practised on the human or animal body shall not be regarded as inventions which are susceptible of industrial application'. Furthermore the applicants attention is drawn to the discrepancy between claim 1 ("non-therapeutic method") and claim 11 ("patient").